Interview Summary

Application No. 09/530,061 Applicant(s)

Notomi et al.

Examiner

Arun Chakrabarti

Group Art Unit 1655

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):
(1) Arun Chakrabarti (3)
(2) Edwin Merkel (4)
Date of Interview Feb 8, 2002
Type: aJX Telephonic $bJ \square$ Video Conference $cJ \square$ Personal [copy is given to $1J \square$ applicant $2J \square$ applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d∫ \(\begin{align*} \text{Yes} & e \end{align*} \begin{align*} \text{No. If yes, brief description:} \\ \end{align*} \)
Claim(s) discussed: All
ldentification of prior art discussed: None
Agreement with respect to the claims fi X was reached. gi \(\substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, any other comments: Applicant was informed about the allowability of all pending claims.
-pypincarit was intulined about the anowability of all pending claims.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allows available, a summary thereof must be attached.)
il X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is check

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713,04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached